PICO #2. Routine use of incentive spirometry compared to no routine use of incentive spirometry for adults age >65 years old with rib fractures

Bibliography:

Certainty assessment							Summary of findings				
							Study event rates (%)			Anticipated absolute effects	
Particip ants (studies) Follow- up	Risk of bias	Inconsist ency	Indirect ness	Impreci sion	Publicat ion bias	Overal I certai nty of eviden ce	With no routine use of incentiv e spirom etry	With routine use of incentiv e spirom etry	Relati ve effect (95% CI)	Risk with no routine use of incentiv e spirom etry	Risk differen ce with routine use of incentiv e spirom etry
All outcomes											
558 (4 observati onal studies)	not serio us	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	⊕⊕○ ○ Low	-/202	-/356	not estima ble	0 per 1,000	

CI: confidence interval