PICO #3. Noninvasive positive pressure ventilation compared to endotracheal intubation and positive pressure ventilation for adults age >65 years old with rib fractures and acute hypoxic respiratory failure refractory to nasal cannula and face mask

Bibliography:

Certainty assessment							Summary of findings				
	Risk of bias					Overa II certai nty of evide nce	Study event rates (%)			Anticipated absolute effects	
Particip ants (studies ) Follow- up		Inconsist ency	Indirect ness	Impreci sion	Publica tion bias		With endotrac heal intubatio n and positive pressure ventilati on	With noninva sive positive pressur e ventilati on	Relat ive effect (95 % CI)	Risk with endotrac heal intubatio n and positive pressure ventilati on	Risk differen ce with noninva sive positive pressur e ventilati on
Pneumonia											
112 (2 RCTs)	not serio us	not serious	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	strong associati on	⊕⊕⊕ ⊕ High	26/54 (48.1%)	7/58 (12.1%)	OR 0.15 (0.06 to 0.39)	481 per 1,000	359 fewer per 1,000 (from 429 fewer to 216 fewer)
Mortality											
112 (2 RCTs)	not serio us	not serious	serious <sup>a</sup>	not serious	strong associati on	⊕⊕⊕ ⊕ High	9/54 (16.7%)	2/58 (3.4%)	OR 0.19 (0.04 to 0.86)	167 per 1,000	130 fewer per 1,000 (from 159 fewer to 20 fewer)

CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio

## **Explanations**

a. Variations in age and injury severity