CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINES:

PENETRATING NECK TRAUMA

Samuel A. Tisherman, MD
Faran Bokhari, MD
Bryan Collier, DO
James Ebert, MD
Michele Holevar, MD
John Cumming, MD
Stanley Kurek, DO
Stuart Leon, MD
Peter Rhee, MD

Correspondence:
Samuel A. Tisherman, MD
Department of Critical Care Medicine
638 Scaife Hall
3550 Terrace Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15261
Phone: 412-647-9914
Fax: 412-802-3308
E-mail: tishermansa@upmc.edu

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Penetrating wounds of the neck are common in the civilian trauma population. Risk of significant injury to vital structures in the neck is dependent upon the penetrating object. For gunshot wounds, approximately 50% (higher with high velocity weapons) of victims have significant injuries, whereas this risk may be only 10-20% with stab wounds.

The management of injuries to the neck that penetrate the platysma is dependent upon the anatomic level of injury. The neck has been decided into threes zones. Zone I, including the thoracic inlet, up to the level of the cricothyroid membrane, is treated as an upper thoracic injury. Zone III, above the angle of the mandible, is treated as a head injury. Zone II, between Zones I and III, is the area of controversy. Because of the density of vital structures in this zone, multiple injuries are common (1) and can affect length of stay (2). Mortality, particularly for major vascular injuries may reach 50% (3). Delayed complications such as pseudoaneurysms or arterio-venous fistulae can affect long-term outcomes (4). Appropriate and timely management of these injuries is critical. For the patients with hard signs of significant injury, including active hemorrhage, expanding hematoma, bruit, pulse deficit, subcutaneous emphysema, hoarseness, stridor, respiratory distress, or hemiparesis, operative management is indicated. Controversy arises over management of the patient without significant symptoms. Our management of these patients has been evolving from an era of mandatory exploration, which led to many non-therapeutic explorations, to an era of more selective management based on clinical experience and new imaging capabilities. Is this justified? What are the specific roles of physical examination and imaging in decision-making? Improved imaging modalities, such as high resolution computed tomography (CT) or specially-performed CT with angiography, might

further improve management of these patients. In addition, some injuries to neck structures may not require operative intervention.

Goals of the Guideline

This guideline is designed to answer the following questions regarding the management of penetrating injuries to Zone II of the neck that penetrate the platysma:

1)   Is operative management mandatory or is selective non-operative management appropriate?

2)   Is physical examination adequate to rule out injuries to vascular structures or the aerodigestive tract?

3)   Can duplex ultrasonography (US) or CT angiography rule out an arterial injury in patients with no hard signs of vascular injury on physical examination, thereby making arteriography unnecessary?

4)   How should specific vascular injuries be managed?

5)   Are both contrast studies (barium or gastrograffin swallow) and esophagoscopy needed to safely rule out esophageal injury?

6)   Is there a need for immobilization of the cervical spine?

II. PROCESS

The process utilized by this committee was developed by the Practice Management Guidelines Committee of the Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma (www.east.org). The committee agreed upon the questions to be considered. Literature for review included the following terms: human, trauma patients, penetrating, and neck; specific structures were also searched (larynx, trachea, esophagus, carotid artery, and jugular vein). Medline and EMBASE were searched from 1966 to 2006.

Articles were distributed among committee members for formal review. Each article was entered into a review data sheet that summarized the main conclusions of the study and identified any deficiencies in the study. Furthermore, reviewers classified each reference by the methodology established by the Agency for Health Care Policy and Research of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services as follows: Class I: prospective, randomized, double-blinded study; Class II: prospective, randomized, non-blinded trial; Class III: retrospective series, meta-analysis.

An evidentiary table (Table) was constructed using the 145 references that were identified: Class I, 2 references; Class II, 26 references; and Class III, 105 references. Twelve of the references could not be classified. Recommendations were made on the basis of the studies included in this table. Level 1 recommendations, usually based on class I data, were meant to be convincingly justifiable on scientific evidence alone. Level 2 recommendations, usually supported by class I and II data, were to be reasonably justifiable by available scientific evidence and strongly supported by expert opinion. Level 3 recommendations, usually based on Class II and III data, were to be made when adequate scientific evidence is lacking, but the recommendation is widely supported by available data and expert opinion.

III. Recommendations

A.   Selective workup – operation vs selective non-operative management

Recommendations

Level 1:

Selective operative management and mandatory exploration of penetrating injuries to Zone II of the neck are equally justified and safe.

Level 2:

No recommendations.

Level 3:

No recommendations.

Scientific foundation

Nonoperative management of penetrating neck wounds was common in the early 20th century. Based on a review of civilian experience, Fogelman and Stewart (5) recognized in 1956 that mandatory exploration led to less mortality than a strategy of observation. A significant number of seemingly asymptomatic patients with penetrating neck injuries actually have injuries (6). In addition, negative neck explorations have little morbidity, though the financial cost is noteworthy; in 1981, Merion et al (7) estimated the cost of a negative exploration at $1,930. Although an exploration under local anesthesia is appealing in terms of limiting recovery time and costs, Almskog et al (8) found that neck explorations under local anesthesia, compared to general anesthesia, resulted in more hematomas and missed injuries. Consequently, mandatory exploration under general anesthesia for injuries that penetrate the platysma seemed reasonable in the 70s and 80s (9). Some small studies even later recommend mandatory exploration (10).

Slowly, uncontrolled studies began to suggest that patients without clear signs of vascular or visceral injury could be observed (11-29), though observation for up to 48 hours may be necessary (30), depending upon use of ancillary tests. Evidence of chest injury does not seem to be an indication for neck exploration (31). Most experience with selective exploration strategies has been in major trauma centers. Some have specifically recommended that a well-staffed teaching hospital with a trauma service and immediate availability of radiologic and endoscopic evaluations is needed (32). Even in community hospitals with experienced surgeons, however, selective management may be safe (33). The need for ancillary studies during observation remains unclear.

Saletta et al (34) reviewed 240 patients at Cook County Hospital who underwent mandatory neck exploration. Sixty three percent had negative explorations and had minimal morbidity. Thirteen of the 90 patients who had positive explorations did not have any clinical signs of the injury. Elerding et al (35) reviewed 75 patients who had undergone mandatory explorations, of which 56% were negative. In this series, however, all patients who had injuries had positive physical exams. Bishara et al (36) similarly reported a 53% rate of negative explorations with mandatory explorations. Twenty-three percent of injuries were not suspected clinically, especially venous and pharyngoesophageal injuries.

In the early 1970s, data suggesting the safety of a selective approach began to emerge. Sheely et al (37) reported improved outcomes of patients with penetrating injuries to the neck over a 22 year period with a move toward early operation for patients with obvious vascular or visceral injury and careful observation based on lack of clinical suspicion of injury, recognizing greater awareness of potential esophageal injuries. Ayuyao et al (38) studied 134 patients who had undergone mandatory explorations. Sixty eight percent were negative. Because of this high

rate of negative explorations, they managed the next 109 patients selectively. Sixty-nine of these patients were successfully observed without operations.

Jurkovich et al (39) compared the results of mandatory exploration (the preference of the attending surgeon) in 47 patients with a selective approach in 53 patients utilizing 43 angiograms and 14 endoscopies. In the mandatory exploration group, there were 25 negative explorations. Twelve injuries were found but only 5 patients benefited from the studies. Noyes et al (40) examined the accuracy of a selective management strategy. Arteriography and laryngoscopy/bronchoscopy were 100% accurate, whereas esophagograms were 90% accurate and esophagoscopy was 86% accurate.

Meyer et al (41) questioned this new approach of selective exploration for penetrating neck injuries. In a series of 113 patients, they obtained arteriograms, laryngotracheoscopy, esophagoscopy and esophagography in each patient prior to a mandatory exploration. Forty-eight injuries were identified in 35 explorations. Of concern was the fact that 5 patients had 6 major injuries that were not identified by the preoperative testing. Thus they believed that a mandatory exploration approach was indicated.

In a series of 128 asymptomatic patients who were observed by Biffl et al (42) primarily based on physical examination, only 1 patient had a missed injury (from an ice pick). Only 15% of these patients required adjuvant tests. Sriussadaporn et al (43) also successfully observed 17 asymptomatic patients. Only 2 of 40 patients who underwent exploration did not need the operation, though they appeared to have deep wounds. In asymptomatic patients, Nason et al (44) found that 67% underwent negative explorations. All Zone II vascular injuries were symptomatic.

Narrod and Moore (45, 46) reviewed their 10-year experience with penetrating neck trauma. In the first 6 years, mandatory exploration led to a 56% rate of negative explorations. In the next 4 years, a selective management strategy was employed. Forty-one of 48 patients who underwent exploration had significant injuries (46), whereas 29 asymptomatic patients were observed without any missed injuries. Few ancillary studies were performed in this group.

In a large, retrospective study from Johannesburg, South Africa, Velmahos et al (47) compared results with patients who underwent immediate surgical exploration vs constant monitoring. In the exploration group, 3% of the explorations were unnecessary; mortality was 4.2%. In the monitoring group, 9% had missed injuries; mortality was 4%. Criteria for observation vs exploration were not clear making the interpretation of the 9% missed injury rate difficult.

The only randomized trial comparing mandatory neck exploration with a selective approach based on physical examination and radiographs was performed by Golueke et al (48) in 160 patients. There was no difference in hospital stay, morbidity or mortality.

Management of transcervical gunshot wounds deserves separate consideration because of the high likelihood of major injury (49). Hirshberg et al (50) explored 41 patients with transcervical gunshot wounds. Twenty-eight had more than one zone of the neck involved. Although 7 patients did not have major injuries, 34 patients had 52 major injuries to cervical structures mainly involving vessels and the upper airway. Sixteen presented with life-threatening problems. They recommended mandatory exploration. In contrast, Demetriades et al (51) found that a selective approach based upon physical examination, angiography, esophagoscopy and esophagography was safe.

Management of neck wounds in the military setting may be different than that in the civilian world. Prgomet et al (52) found that injuries that did not penetrate the platysma did not cause significant injuries. Forty-nine of 84 patients who underwent immediate exploration had injuries to vital structures. They also found that it was safe to close the wound primarily if it was seen within 6 hours of injury. In their experience, even extensive laryngotracheal injuries could be repaired safely (53).

There is little data on selective management of penetrating neck injuries in children. Small studies (54, 55) suggest that a selective management strategy is safe.

B. Diagnosis of arterial injury

Recommendations

Level 1:

No recommendations.

Level 2:

CT angiography or duplex ultrasonography can be used in lieu of arteriography to rule out an arterial injury in penetrating injuries to Zone II of the neck.

Level 3:

CT of the neck (even without CT angiography) can be used to rule out a significant vascular injury if it demonstrates that the trajectory of the penetrating object is remote from vital structures. With injuries in proximity to vascular structures, minor vascular injuries such as intimal flaps may be missed.

Scientific Foundation

In the era of mandatory neck exploration for penetrating trauma, there seemed to be little need for angiography, though some (9) suggested that the angiogram could assist in operative planning and thereby minimize morbidity, or rule out the need for exploration (56, 57). Physical examination, however, seemed unreliable for ruling out arterial injury (58). Delayed pseudoaneuryms and neurologic events have been described in originally asymptomatic patients, prompting some to advocate angiography in all such patients (59). A negative arteriogram in a stable patient can rule out an arterial injury (60). North et al (61) reviewed the records of 139 stable patients with penetrating neck trauma. Patients who had at least soft signs of vascular injury (absent pulse, bruit, hematoma, or altered neurologic status) had a 30% incidence of

vascular injury by angiography, whereas only 2 of 78 asymptomatic patients had injuries (one minor and one that did not affect management). Gunshot wounds were more likely than stab wounds to cause vascular injury. Similarly, Hartling et al (62) found that 43 patients with stab wounds to the neck and minimal symptoms had no significant injuries by angiography. Even in the 18 patients with physical findings consistent with a vascular injury, only 2 had significant injuries. Rivers et al (63) similarly questioned the value of angiography. Of 63 angiograms in 61 patients, only 6 were abnormal. Three were thought to be spurious on subsequent review, two were clinically insignificant, and one required surgery. No significant arterial injuries were identified by arteriography in the absence of suggestive physical findings. No major arterial injuries were discovered during exploration that were missed preoperatively. Angiograms did not alter the course of management.

In contrast, Sclafani et al (64) found that 10 of 26 patients who had positive angiograms for penetrating vascular injury to the neck had undergone the angiogram solely because of proximity. Physical examination had a sensitivity of 61% and specificity of 80%. They also found no differences in their results based upon mechanism of injury. They suggested that proximity should not be abandoned as an indication for angiography in these patients.

Menawat et al (65) performed angiography for proximity or soft signs of vascular injury. Fifteen injuries were found on 45 angiograms. Forty-two patients without any signs of injury were successfully observed without angiography or operation. Overall, only 1 patient had a significant injury that was not predicted by physical examination.

In contrast, Nemzek et al (66) found that proximity, based on the addition of plain films or CT of the neck showing prevertebral soft-tissue swelling, missile fragmentation, or missiles adjacent to major vessels can be useful but are nonspecific radiographic signs.

To examine the cost effectiveness of angiography, Jarvik et al (67) studied 111 patients with penetrating neck trauma. Forty five of the 48 patients with vascular injuries had abnormal clinical findings. Management in the other 3 patients was not altered by the angiogram. They calculated the cost of screening angiography in asymptomatic patients to be approximately $3.08 million per central nervous system event.

Demetriades et al (68) prospectively compared physical examination and duplex US imaging to angiography in 82 stable patients with penetrating neck injuries. Only 11 patients had vascular injuries by angiography and only 2 of these needed to be repaired. The serious injuries were detected or suspected on physical examination, but 6 lesions that did not require treatment were missed (sensitivity 100% for serious injuries, but 45% for all injuries). By duplex US imaging, 10 of 11 injuries, including all serious ones, were identified, for an overall sensitivity of 91% (100% for clinically important lesions) and specificity of 99%. Further studies by Demetriades et al (69) included 223 patients. Of the 160 asymptomatic patients, 11 had injuries that did not require treatment. Overall, duplex US was 92% sensitive (100% for findings that required an operation) and 100% specific for defining an injury. Bynoe et al (70) similarly found that duplex US was 95% sensitive and 99% specific for vascular injuries after both neck and extremity trauma. The only missed injuries were 2 shotgun pellet injuries that did not need repair.

In a prospective, double-blind study, Montalvo et al (71) found that US identified all 10 significant injuries in 52 patients with penetrating neck trauma. Duplex US did not identify reversible carotid narrowing in one patient and did not visualize 2 vertebral arteries. Another report by the same group (72) found in 55 patients that duplex US had 100% sensitivity and 85% specificity.

Corr et al (73) reported that duplex US picked up 2 intimal flaps that were not identified on angiography.

Helical CT angiography is the newest technology to be tested for identifying vascular injuries from penetrating neck trauma. Because it might also be useful for identifying or ruling out other injuries, e.g., aerodigestive tract injury, this modality is particularly intriguing as a "one stop shop" to evaluate asymptomatic patients for selective operative management. The speed and resolution of this modality continues to improve. Gracias et al (74) have already recommended that if a CT demonstrates trajectories that are remote from vital structures, the need for additional invasive studies can be eliminated.

Munera et al (75) prospectively studied 60 patients, who had 10 vascular injuries. There was one missed injury by CT angiography because the study actually did not include the entire neck. They later (76) suggested that patients with bruits or thrill at admission may be better treated by undergoing conventional angiography because of the potential for endovascular therapy. Helical CT angiography is limited by artifact due to metal, which may obscure arterial segments; therefore, these patients should undergo conventional angiography.

In the setting of a mandatory exploration protocol, Mazolewski et al (77) found that CT angiography, compared to operative findings, was 100% sensitive and 91% specific in 14 patients.

C.	Diagnosis of esophageal injury

Recommendations

Level 1:

No recommendations.

Level 2:

Either contrast esophagography or esophagoscopy can be used to rule out an esophageal perforation that requires operative repair. Diagnostic workup should be expeditious because morbidity increases if repair is delayed by more than 24 hours.

Level 3:

No recommendations.

Scientific foundation

The problem with penetrating injuries to the esophagus is that there are frequently no findings on physical examination. Esophagography can miss the injury (78). This is of grave concern since late referral and management can lead to significant morbidity and mortality (79-81). Early diagnosis and management, often with primary repair, leads to good outcomes (82-84). Even gunshot wounds can be closed primarily (85); more complex repairs may lead to strictures (86). Location of the injury can affect outcome as injuries above the arytenoid cartilages can be managed without intervention, whereas more inferior injuries require neck drainage to prevent a deep tissue infection (87). Madiba et al (88) also found that patients with small injuries and contained perforation on contrast studies could be observed without operation unless there was another indication for exploration. All 26 patients with injuries had odynophagia. Of 17 patients managed non-operatively, only 1 developed local sepsis. Six

patients had associated tracheal injuries. In addition, patients with tracheal injuries have worse outcomes if they have concomitant esophageal injuries (89, 90).

Weigelt et al (91) utilized a strategy of esophagography followed by rigid esophagoscopy if the esophagogram were equivocal to identify esophageal injuries in patients who had no or minimal symptoms after penetrating neck trauma. All 10 injuries in 118 patients were identified. Wood et al (92) found that esophagography alone was 100% sensitive and 96% specific in 225 patients. Ngakane et al (93) reviewed 109 patients with penetrating neck trauma. All patients with gunshot wounds underwent esophagography, while patients with stab wounds were only studied if they had pain with swallowing. Twenty-nine studies were performed and 4 injuries were identified. All were observed without intervention. Repeat contrast studies demonstrated resolution of the injury.

In 23 patients with esophageal injuries, Armstrong et al (86) found that esophagography only identified 62% of the injuries whereas rigid esophagoscopy detected all injuries. Srinivasan et al (94) found reasonable accuracy with flexible endoscopy. In 55 patients, flexible endoscopy identified the only 2 injuries, but suggested an injury in 4 patients, resulting in 4 negative explorations, for an overall sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 92%.

D. Value of the physical exam

Recommendations

Level 1:

No recommendations.

Level 2:

No recommendations.

Level 3:

1) Careful physical examination, including auscultation of the carotid arteries, is >95% sensitive

for detecting arterial injuries that require repair. Given the potential morbidity of missed injuries,

imaging is still recommended.

2) Physical examination is inadequate to rule out injuries to the aerodigestive tract.

Scientific foundation

Early reports suggested that the physical examination is unreliable to rule out a vascular

injury. McCormick and Burch (95) found physical examination of neck and extremity injuries

yielded a 20% false negative rate and a 42% false positive rate. Metzdorff and Lowe (96) found

an overall 80% accuracy of physical examination. Apffelstaedt et al (97) found that clinical signs

were absent in 30% of patients with positive neck explorations and in 58% of patients with

negative neck explorations, support their approach of mandatory exploration.

More recently, Demetriades et al (98) studied 335 patients with penetrating neck injuries.

Sixty patients underwent exploration for positive physical examination findings or a positive

workup, whereas 269 asymptomatic patients were observed. Only 2 of the latter patients later

required elective procedures. In a subsequent paper, this group demonstrated that physical

examination did not miss any major vascular or esophageal injuries that required intervention; though minor injuries were identified by angiography (1 of 8 required intervention) and esophagography. Using a selective approach based upon careful and repeated physical examinations, Gerst et al (99) observed 58 asymptomatic patients without sequelae. Of the 52 patients who underwent prompt exploration based upon physical examination, 17% did not have significant injuries. Beitsch et al (100) similarly found that only 1 of 71 asymptomatic patients had a vascular injury detected by angiography. Thus, in this patient population physical examination ruled out 99% of vascular injuries and the yield for angiography was 1.4%. Atteberry et al (101) found that if patients did not have physical examination findings of arterial injury (active bleeding, expanding hematoma or hematoma larger than 10 cm, a bruit or thrill, or a neurologic deficit) no vascular injuries were present based on angiography, duplex ultrasound, or clinical follow-up. They observed patients for at least 23 hours.

Conversely, Sekharan et al (102) found that only 2 of 30 patients who underwent exploration for hard signs of vascular injury did not have a significant injury. Twenty-three of 114 asymptomatic patients underwent angiography for proximity or involvement of another zone. Only one of these patients needed an operation. All 91 other patients with negative physical examinations were safely observed without imaging. Azuaje et al (103) found that 68% of patients with positive physical examination had a positive angiogram. Of the 89 patients with negative physical examinations, only 3 had positive angiograms, but none needed operations. Overall, physical examinations had sensitivity of 93% and a negative predictive value of 97%. Both sensitivity and negative predictive value for injuries requiring operation were 100%.

Subcutaneous emphysema or crepitance are physical findings suggestive of aerodigestive tract injuries that may require operative intervention. Goudy et al (104) reviewed the cases of 19

patients with emphysema or crepitance. Twenty-one percent had dysphagia, 63% had stridor or

hoarseness. Most underwent direct laryngoscopy and esophagoscopy. Patients without

demonstrable injuries or small tears were successfully observed without exploration.

The best study, though small, that attempted to determine if imaging adds to physical

examination in the evaluation of patients with penetrating neck injuries was that by Gonzalez et

al (105). Forty-two patients who did not have obvious need for operation at admission underwent

soft tissue dynamic CT of the neck and esophagography before mandatory exploration. All

tracheal and carotid injuries were identified by physical examination. Two of 4 esophageal

injuries (both from stab wounds) were missed by both CT and esophagography. CT was better

than physical examination for identifying venous injuries, but most of these did not require

intervention.

E. Management of specific vascular injuries

<u>Recommendations</u>

Level 1:

No recommendations.

Level 2:

1) Except for minimal intimal irregularities or small pseudoaneurysms without neurologic deficits, penetrating injuries to the internal carotid artery should be repaired, even when severe neurologic deficits are present.

2) Angiographic approaches to the vertebral artery are preferred to operative approaches for patients with bleeding from vertebral artery injuries.

3) Ligation of the jugular vein is appropriate for complex injuries or unstable patients.

Level 3:

No recommendations.

<u>Scientific foundation</u>

Carotid artery injuries. The issues that arise regarding carotid artery injuries involve the questions of reconstruction, ligation or leaving the vessel occluded, vs non-operative management. In addition, operative strategies may include extending the incision beyond the neck via median sternotomy or anterior thoracotomy to obtain adequate vascular control (106). Weaver et al (107) reviewed the results of reconstruction vs ligation vs non-operative management in 80 patients with penetrating carotid artery injuries. Arterial reconstruction provided the best outcome compared to ligation, except for non-occlusive minimal intimal injuries that required only observation. The main issue appeared to be ischemia. Concerns for

hemorrhagic transformation of the ischemic brain in patients with pre-operative neurologic deficits (12) with reperfusion were unwarranted. Khoury et al (108) reviewed their experience with penetrating neck trauma in Beirut. Better outcomes were associated with early arterial repair, though hemodynamics also affected outcome.

Rao et al (109) advocated a selective approach to potential carotid artery injuries in stable patients. They recommended angiography for all injuries to zones I or III. For Zone II injuries, angiography was performed based on proximity. The carotid artery was ligated in 3 patients without neurologic deficits. All other carotid artery injuries were successfully repaired, some with polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE).

Kuehne et al (110) examined the impact of a management algorithm for penetrating carotid artery injuries. Prior to implementation of the protocol, management was based on surgeon preference. Of 36 patients, 6 deteriorated, 6 improved, and 24 had no change after repair, ligation, or non-operative management. The new algorithm included routine angiography for stable patients and reconstruction of injured vessels, unless the vessel was already occluded or the injury was minimal. Except for 1 patient who died prior to carotid artery repair, all patients either stayed the same or improved with this management strategy.

Mittal et al (111) proposed a grading scale for carotid artery injuries. In their series, all patients with internal carotid artery injuries were managed with interposition grafts. Common carotid artery injuries were treated either with primary repair or interposition grafts depending upon severity.

Advances in endovascular therapy may significantly change our strategies for management of vascular injuries. Diaz-Daza et al (112) demonstrated good results with

embolization and/or stents in 8 patients with 17 vascular injuries of the head and neck resulting

in pseudoaneurysms, fistulae, or hemorrhage.

Vertebrals. Studies of vertebral artery injuries have not compared management strategies. They

have focused mainly on the potential benefits of radiologic embolization (113, 114). Golueke et

al (115) suggested that occlusion of the vessel was rarely an issue as long as the posterior inferior

cerebellar artery was intact. If intervention was needed, proximal and distal, if possible,

embolization simplified management. Complex pseudoaneurysms and arteriovenous fistulae can

be managed with this approach (116). Even when surgical approaches were incomplete or

unsuccessful, embolization could still be successful (117). Yee et al (118) and Demetriades et al

(119) similarly found embolization to be successful. Surgical approaches were recommended for

severe hemorrhage. Non-operative management was appropriate for minimal injuries.

In 43 patients with vertebral artery injuries, Reid and Weigelt (120) reported no issues of

neurologic sequelae secondary to vertebral-basilar ischemia after proximal and distal control was

attained operatively. Minor injuries were successfully observed.

Venous. If arterial injuries are managed non-operatively, or by radiologic embolization, there is

a possibility of missing a significant venous injury. Sclafani and Sclafani (121) reported on

successful angiographic embolization of penetrating vascular injuries to the face and neck. Even

though 18% of the injuries involved arteriovenous fistulae, no clinically significant venous

injuries were missed by angiography.

Regarding the operative management of venous injuries, Robbs and Reddy (122) and

Nair et al (123) demonstrated the safety of ligation for injuries to the great veins of the neck or

thorax, particularly if the patient were unstable or the needed repair would be complex. Although

transient edema occurred, chronic venous stasis was not seen.

F. Cervical Spine Immobilization

Recommendations

Level 1:

No recommendations.

Level 2:

Immobilization of the cervical spine is unnecessary unless there is overt neurologic deficit or an

adequate physical examination can not be performed, e.g., the unconscious victim.

Level 3:

No recommendations.

Scientific foundation

The question arises as to whether or not routine immobilization of the cervical spine is

indicated in patients with penetrating neck trauma and no obvious injury to the spine. Arishita et

al (124) reviewed the experience during the Vietnam War with penetrating neck injuries. No

immobilization was performed. Of these 472 patients, only 4 might have benefited from

immobilization. They pointed out, however, that the risk of performing immobilization in a

hazardous environment like this is substantial as 10% of casualties occur while helping other

victims.

Barkana et al (125) pointed out that an immobilization device could cover up critical

physical examination findings. In their review of military casualties in Israel, 8 of 36 patients

who survived to hospitalization had important findings covered by the collar. Although 12

patients had fractures of the cervical spine, none of these were unstable and none needed surgical

stabilization. Given this very low risk of an unstable spinal fracture, they recommended only

immobilizing patients with neurologic deficits, patients for whom one can not obtain a physical examination, and patients with concomitant blunt trauma.

In a review of civilian trauma victims who had been assaulted, Rhee et al (126) found that neurologic deficits from penetrating assault were established and final at the time of presentation. They felt that concern for protecting the neck should not hinder the evaluation process or life saving procedures.

IV. Future Directions

Selective management of penetrating injuries to Zone II of the neck has become common for asymptomatic patients. The roles of physical examination, arteriography, duplex US, CT angiography, esophagography, and esophogoscopy remain unclear. At the moment, the single imaging modality that holds the greatest potential for ruling out vascular, tracheal, and esophageal injuries is CT angiography. Additional trials are needed to confirm this hypothesis. As the resolution of CT images improve, accuracy will surely increase. Rapid definitive imaging studies may allow early discharge of patients with neck injuries.

The management of arterial injuries has been evolving as interventional radiologists gain experience with manipulation of the carotid arteries with balloon angioplasty and stent placement for non-trauma situations, such as strokes. Endovascular intervention is already standard for vertebral injuries. Ideally randomized clinical trials should be considered to demonstrate the benefits of these approaches. As interventional radiologists and vascular surgeons become more adept with these techniques and case series accumulate, randomization may become unethical. Perhaps now is the time to do the definitive trials.

REFERENCES

1.   Bumpous JM, Whitt PD, Ganzel TM, McClane SD. Penetrating injuries of the visceral compartment of the neck. American Journal of Otolaryngology. 2000;21:190-194.

2.   Atta HM. Organ injury scaling system can be used to predict length of stay in patients with penetrating neck injuries. American Surgeon. 1999;65:575-577.

3.   Bladergroen M, Brockman R, Luna G, et al. A twelve-year survey of cervicothoracic vascular injuries. American Journal of Surgery. 1989;157:483-486.

4.   Amirjamshidi A, Abbassioun K, Rahmat H. Traumatic aneurysms and arteriovenous fistulas of the extracranial vessels in war injuries. Surgical Neurology. 2000;53:136-145.

5.   Fogelman M, Stewart R. Penetrating wounds of the neck. Am J Surg. 1956;91:581-596.

6.   Markey JC, Jr., Hines JL, Nance FC. Penetrating neck wounds: A review of 218 cases. American Surgeon. 1975;41:77-83.

7.   Merion RM, Harness JK, Ramsburgh SR, Thompson NW. Selective management of penetrating neck trauma. Cost implications. Archives of Surgery. 1981;116:691-696.

8.   Almskog BA, Angeras U, Hall-Angeras M, Malmgren S. Penetrating wounds of the neck. Experience from a swedish hospital. Acta Chirurgica Scandinavica. 1985;151:419-423.

9.   Roon AJ, Christensen N. Evaluation and treatment of penetrating cervical injuries. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 1979;19:391-397.

10.   Walsh MS. The management of penetrating injuries of the anterior triangle of the neck.[see comment]. Injury. 1994;25:393-395.

11.   May M, Chadaratana P, West JW, Ogura JH. Penetrating neck wounds: Selective exploration. Laryngoscope. 1975;85:57-75.

12.   Bostwick J, 3rd, Schneider WJ, Jurkiewicz MJ, Stone HH. Penetrating injuries of the face and neck. Southern Medical Journal. 1976;69:550-553.

13.   Blass DC, James EC, Reed RJ, 3rd, et al. Penetrating wounds of the neck and upper thorax. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 1978;18:2-7.

14.   Lundy LJ, Jr., Mandal AK, Lou MA, Alexander JL. Experience in selective operations in the management of penetrating wounds of the neck. Surgery, Gynecology & Obstetrics. 1978;147:845-848.

15.   Meinke AH, Bivins BA, Sachatello CR. Selective management of gunshot wounds to the neck. Report of a series and review of the literature. American Journal of Surgery. 1979;138:314-319.

16.   Campbell FC, Robbs JV. Penetrating injuries of the neck: A prospective study of 108 patients. British Journal of Surgery. 1980;67:582-586.

17.   Pate JW, Casini M. Penetrating wounds of the neck: Explore or not? American Surgeon. 1980;46:38-43.

18.   Massac E, Jr., Siram SM, Leffall LD, Jr. Penetrating neck wounds. American Journal of Surgery. 1983;145:263-265.

19.   Shuck JM, Gregory J, Edwards WS. Selective management of penetrating neck wounds. Annals of Emergency Medicine. 1983;12:159-161.

20.   Rao PM, Bhatti MF, Gaudino J, et al. Penetrating injuries of the neck: Criteria for exploration. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 1983;23:47-49.

21.   Demetriades D, Stewart M. Penetrating injuries of the neck. Annals of the Royal College of Surgeons of England. 1985;67:71-74.

22. Cohen ES, Breaux CW, Johnson PN, Leitner CA. Penetrating neck injuries: Experience with selective exploration. Southern Medical Journal. 1987;80:26-28.

23. Ramadan HH, Samara MA, Hamdan US, Shahinian HK. Penetrating neck injuries during the lebanese war: Aubmc experience. American university of beirut medical center. Laryngoscope. 1987;97:975-977.

24. Mansour MA, Moore EE, Moore FA, Whitehill TA. Validating the selective management of penetrating neck wounds. American Journal of Surgery. 1991;162:517-520; discussion 520-511.

25. Roden DM, Pomerantz RA. Penetrating injuries to the neck: A safe, selective approach to management. American Surgeon. 1993;59:750-753.

26. Luntz M, Nusem S, Kronenberg J. Management of penetrating wounds of the neck. European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology. 1993;250:369-374.

27. Sofianos C, Degiannis E, Van den Aardweg MS, et al. Selective surgical management of zone ii gunshot injuries of the neck: A prospective study. Surgery. 1996;120:785-788.

28. Klyachkin ML, Rohmiller M, Charash WE, et al. Penetrating injuries of the neck: Selective management evolving.[see comment]. American Surgeon. 1997;63:189-194.

29. Hersman G, Barker P, Bowley DM, Boffard KD. The management of penetrating neck injuries. International Surgery. 2001;86:82-89.

30. Stroud WH, Yarbrough DR, 3rd. Penetrating neck wounds. American Journal of Surgery. 1980;140:323-326.

31. Goldberg PA, Knottenbelt JD, van der Spuy JW. Penetrating neck wounds: Is evidence of chest injury an indication for exploration? Injury. 1991;22:7-8.

32.   Ordog GJ, Albin D, Wasserberger J, et al. 110 bullet wounds to the neck. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 1985;25:238-246.

33.   Cabasares HV. Selective surgical management of penetrating neck trauma. 15-year experience in a community hospital. American Surgeon. 1982;48:355-358.

34.   Saletta JD, Lowe RJ, Lim LT, et al. Penetrating trauma of the neck. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 1976;16:579-587.

35.   Elerding SC, Manart FD, Moore EE. A reappraisal of penetrating neck injury management. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 1980;20:695-697.

36.   Bishara RA, Pasch AR, Douglas DD, et al. The necessity of mandatory exploration of penetrating zone ii neck injuries. Surgery. 1986;100:655-660.

37.   Sheely CH, 2nd, Mattox KL, Reul GJ, Jr., et al. Current concepts in the management of penetrating neck trauma. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 1975;15:895-900.

38.   Ayuyao AM, Kaledzi YL, Parsa MH, Freeman HP. Penetrating neck wounds. Mandatory versus selective exploration. Annals of Surgery. 1985;202:563-567.

39.   Jurkovich GJ, Zingarelli W, Wallace J, Curreri PW. Penetrating neck trauma: Diagnostic studies in the asymptomatic patient. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 1985;25:819-822.

40.   Noyes LD, McSwain NE, Jr., Markowitz IP. Panendoscopy with arteriography versus mandatory exploration of penetrating wounds of the neck. Annals of Surgery. 1986;204:21-31.

41.   Meyer JP, Barrett JA, Schuler JJ, Flanigan DP. Mandatory vs selective exploration for penetrating neck trauma. A prospective assessment. Archives of Surgery. 1987;122:592-597.

42.   Biffl WL, Moore EE, Rehse DH, et al. Selective management of penetrating neck trauma based on cervical level of injury. American Journal of Surgery. 1997;174:678-682.

43.   Sriussadaporn S, Pak-Art R, Tharavej C, et al. Selective management of penetrating neck injuries based on clinical presentations is safe and practical. International Surgery. 2001;86:90-93.

44.   Nason RW, Assuras GN, Gray PR, et al. Penetrating neck injuries: Analysis of experience from a canadian trauma centre. Canadian Journal of Surgery. 2001;44:122-126.

45.   Narrod JA, Moore EE. Initial management of penetrating neck wounds--a selective approach. Journal of Emergency Medicine. 1984;2:17-22.

46.   Narrod JA, Moore EE. Selective management of penetrating neck injuries. A prospective study. Archives of Surgery. 1984;119:574-578.

47.   Velmahos GC, Souter I, Degiannis E, et al. Selective surgical management in penetrating neck injuries. Canadian Journal of Surgery. 1994;37:487-491.

48.   Golueke PJ, Goldstein AS, Sclafani SJ, et al. Routine versus selective exploration of penetrating neck injuries: A randomized prospective study. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 1984;24:1010-1014.

49.   Atta HM, Walker ML. Penetrating neck trauma: Lack of universal reporting guidelines. American Surgeon. 1998;64:222-225.

50.   Hirshberg A, Wall MJ, Johnston RH, Jr., et al. Transcervical gunshot injuries. American
        Journal of Surgery. 1994;167:309-312.

51.   Demetriades D, Theodorou D, Cornwell E, et al. Transcervical gunshot injuries:
        Mandatory operation is not necessary. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical
        Care. 1996;40:758-760.

52.   Prgomet D, Danic D, Milicic D, Leovic D. Management of war-related neck injuries
        during the war in croatia, 1991-1992. European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology.
        1996;253:294-296.

53.   Danic D, Prgomet D, Milicic D, et al. War injuries to the head and neck. Military
        Medicine. 1998;163:117-119.

54.   Cooper A, Barlow B, Niemirska M, Gandhi R. Fifteen years' experience with penetrating
        trauma to the head and neck in children. Journal of Pediatric Surgery. 1987;22:24-27.

55.   Hall JR, Reyes HM, Meller JL. Penetrating zone-ii neck injuries in children. Journal of
        Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 1991;31:1614-1617.

56.   Thomas AN, Goodman PC, Roon AJ. Role of angiography in cervicothoracic trauma.
        Journal of Thoracic & Cardiovascular Surgery. 1978;76:633-638.

57.   O'Donnell VA, Atik M, Pick RA. Evaluation and management of penetrating wounds of
        the neck: The role of emergency angiography. American Journal of Surgery.
        1979;138:309-313.

58.   Smith RF, Elliot JP, Hageman JH, et al. Acute penetrating arterial injuries of the neck
        and limbs. Archives of Surgery. 1974;109:198-205.

59.   Dunbar LL, Adkins RB, Waterhouse G. Penetrating injuries to the neck. Selective
        management. American Surgeon. 1984;50:198-204.

60. Hiatt JR, Busuttil RW, Wilson SE. Impact of routine arteriography on management of penetrating neck injuries. Journal of Vascular Surgery. 1984;1:860-866.

61. North CM, Ahmadi J, Segall HD, Zee CS. Penetrating vascular injuries of the face and neck: Clinical and angiographic correlation. AJR American Journal of Roentgenology. 1986;147:995-999.

62. Hartling RP, McGahan JP, Lindfors KK, Blaisdell FW. Stab wounds to the neck: Role of angiography. Radiology. 1989;172:79-82.

63. Rivers SP, Patel Y, Delany HM, Veith FJ. Limited role of arteriography in penetrating neck trauma. Journal of Vascular Surgery. 1988;8:112-116.

64. Sclafani SJ, Cavaliere G, Atweh N, et al. The role of angiography in penetrating neck trauma. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 1991;31:557-562; discussion 562-553.

65. Menawat SS, Dennis JW, Laneve LM, Frykberg ER. Are arteriograms necessary in penetrating zone ii neck injuries? Journal of Vascular Surgery. 1992;16:397-400; discussion 400-391.

66. Nemzek WR, Hecht ST, Donald PJ, et al. Prediction of major vascular injury in patients with gunshot wounds to the neck. Ajnr: American Journal of Neuroradiology. 1996;17:161-167.

67. Jarvik JG, Philips GR, 3rd, Schwab CW, et al. Penetrating neck trauma: Sensitivity of clinical examination and cost-effectiveness of angiography. Ajnr: American Journal of Neuroradiology. 1995;16:647-654.

68.   Demetriades D, Theodorou D, Cornwell E, 3rd, et al. Penetrating injuries of the neck in patients in stable condition. Physical examination, angiography, or color flow doppler imaging. Archives of Surgery. 1995;130:971-975.

69.   Demetriades D, Theodorou D, Cornwell E, et al. Evaluation of penetrating injuries of the neck: Prospective study of 223 patients.[see comment]. World Journal of Surgery. 1997;21:41-47; discussion 47-48.

70.   Bynoe RP, Miles WS, Bell RM, et al. Noninvasive diagnosis of vascular trauma by duplex ultrasonography. Journal of Vascular Surgery. 1991;14:346-352.

71.   Montalvo BM, LeBlang SD, Nunez DB, Jr., et al. Color doppler sonography in penetrating injuries of the neck. Ajnr: American Journal of Neuroradiology. 1996;17:943-951.

72.   Ginzburg E, Montalvo B, LeBlang S, et al. The use of duplex ultrasonography in penetrating neck trauma. Archives of Surgery. 1996;131:691-693.

73.   Corr P, Abdool Carrim AT, Robbs J. Colour-flow ultrasound in the detection of penetrating vascular injuries of the neck. South African Medical Journal. 1999;89:644-646.

74.   Gracias VH, Reilly PM, Philpott J, et al. Computed tomography in the evaluation of penetrating neck trauma: A preliminary study. Archives of Surgery. 2001;136:1231-1235.

75.   Munera F, Soto JA, Palacio D, et al. Diagnosis of arterial injuries caused by penetrating trauma to the neck: Comparison of helical ct angiography and conventional angiography. Radiology. 2000;216:356-362.

76.   Munera F, Soto JA, Palacio DM, et al. Penetrating neck injuries: Helical ct angiography for initial evaluation. Radiology. 2002;224:366-372.

77.   Mazolewski PJ, Curry JD, Browder T, Fildes J. Computed tomographic scan can be used for surgical decision making in zone ii penetrating neck injuries. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 2001;51:315-319.

78.   Splener CW, Benfield JR. Esophageal disruption from blunt and penetrating external trauma. Archives of Surgery. 1976;111:663-667.

79.   Asensio JA, Berne J, Demetriades D, et al. Penetrating esophageal injuries: Time interval of safety for preoperative evaluation--how long is safe? Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 1997;43:319-324.

80.   Asensio JA, Chahwan S, Forno W, et al. Penetrating esophageal injuries: Multicenter study of the american association for the surgery of trauma. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 2001;50:289-296.

81.   Hatzitheofilou C, Strahlendorf C, Kakoyiannis S, et al. Penetrating external injuries of the oesophagus and pharynx.[erratum appears in br j surg 1993 nov;80(11):1491]. British Journal of Surgery. 1993;80:1147-1149.

82.   Symbas PN, Hatcher CR, Jr., Vlasis SE. Esophageal gunshot injuries. Annals of Surgery. 1980;191:703-707.

83.   Cheadle W, Richardson JD. Options in management of trauma to the esophagus. Surgery, Gynecology & Obstetrics. 1982;155:380-384.

84.   Shama DM, Odell J. Penetrating neck trauma with tracheal and oesophageal injuries. British Journal of Surgery. 1984;71:534-536.

85.   Popovsky J. Perforations of the esophagus from gunshot wounds. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 1984;24:337-339.

86.   Armstrong WB, Detar TR, Stanley RB. Diagnosis and management of external

        penetrating cervical esophageal injuries. Annals of Otology, Rhinology & Laryngology.

        1994;103:863-871.

87.   Stanley RB, Jr., Armstrong WB, Fetterman BL, Shindo ML. Management of external

        penetrating injuries into the hypopharyngeal-cervical esophageal funnel. Journal of

        Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 1997;42:675-679.

88.   Madiba TE, Muckart DJ. Penetrating injuries to the cervical oesophagus: Is routine

        exploration mandatory? Annals of the Royal College of Surgeons of England.

        2003;85:162-166.

89.   Minard G, Kudsk KA, Croce MA, et al. Laryngotracheal trauma. American Surgeon.

        1992;58:181-187.

90.   Grewal H, Rao PM, Mukerji S, Ivatury RR. Management of penetrating laryngotracheal

        injuries. Head & Neck. 1995;17:494-502.

91.   Weigelt JA, Thal ER, Snyder WH, 3rd, et al. Diagnosis of penetrating cervical

        esophageal injuries. American Journal of Surgery. 1987;154:619-622.

92.   Wood J, Fabian TC, Mangiante EC. Penetrating neck injuries: Recommendations for

        selective management. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 1989;29:602-

        605.

93.   Ngakane H, Muckart DJ, Luvuno FM. Penetrating visceral injuries of the neck: Results of

        a conservative management policy. British Journal of Surgery. 1990;77:908-910.

94.   Srinivasan R, Haywood T, Horwitz B, et al. Role of flexible endoscopy in the evaluation

        of possible esophageal trauma after penetrating injuries. American Journal of

        Gastroenterology. 2000;95:1725-1729.

95.   McCormick TM, Burch BH. Routine angiographic evaluation of neck and extremity injuries. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 1979;19:384-387.

96.   Metzdorff MT, Lowe DK. Operation or observation for penetrating neck wounds? A retrospective analysis. American Journal of Surgery. 1984;147:646-649.

97.   Apffelstaedt JP, Muller R. Results of mandatory exploration for penetrating neck trauma. World Journal of Surgery. 1994;18:917-919; discussion 920.

98.   Demetriades D, Charalambides D, Lakhoo M. Physical examination and selective conservative management in patients with penetrating injuries of the neck. British Journal of Surgery. 1993;80:1534-1536.

99.   Gerst PH, Sharma SK, Sharma PK. Selective management of penetrating neck trauma. American Surgeon. 1990;56:553-555.

100.   Beitsch P, Weigelt JA, Flynn E, Easley S. Physical examination and arteriography in patients with penetrating zone ii neck wounds. Archives of Surgery. 1994;129:577-581.

101.   Atteberry LR, Dennis JW, Menawat SS, Frykberg ER. Physical examination alone is safe and accurate for evaluation of vascular injuries in penetrating zone ii neck trauma. Journal of the American College of Surgeons. 1994;179:657-662.

102.   Sekharan J, Dennis JW, Veldenz HC, et al. Continued experience with physical examination alone for evaluation and management of penetrating zone 2 neck injuries: Results of 145 cases. Journal of Vascular Surgery. 2000;32:483-489.

103.   Azuaje RE, Jacobson LE, Glover J, et al. Reliability of physical examination as a predictor of vascular injury after penetrating neck trauma. American Surgeon. 2003;69:804-807.

104.   Goudy SL, Miller FB, Bumpous JM. Neck crepitance: Evaluation and management of suspected upper aerodigestive tract injury. Laryngoscope. 2002;112:791-795.

105.   Gonzalez RP, Falimirski M, Holevar MR, Turk B. Penetrating zone ii neck injury: Does dynamic computed tomographic scan contribute to the diagnostic sensitivity of physical examination for surgically significant injury? A prospective blinded study.[see comment]. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 2003;54:61-64; discussion 64-65.

106.   Thavendran A, Wijemanne NY, Soysa A, Rasaretnam R. Penetrating injuries of the neck. Injury. 1975;7:58-60.

107.   Weaver FA, Yellin AE, Wagner WH, et al. The role of arterial reconstruction in penetrating carotid injuries. Archives of Surgery. 1988;123:1106-1111.

108.   Khoury G, Hajj H, Khoury SJ, et al. Penetrating trauma to the carotid vessels. European Journal of Vascular Surgery. 1990;4:607-610.

109.   Rao PM, Ivatury RR, Sharma P, et al. Cervical vascular injuries: A trauma center experience. Surgery. 1993;114:527-531.

110.   Kuehne JP, Weaver FA, Papanicolaou G, Yellin AE. Penetrating trauma of the internal carotid artery. Archives of Surgery. 1996;131:942-947; discussion 947-948.

111.   Mittal VK, Paulson TJ, Colaiuta E, et al. Carotid artery injuries and their management. Journal of Cardiovascular Surgery. 2000;41:423-431.

112.   Diaz-Daza O, Arraiza FJ, Barkley JM, Whigham CJ. Endovascular therapy of traumatic vascular lesions of the head and neck. Cardiovascular & Interventional Radiology. 2003;26:213-221.

113.   Debrun G, Legre J, Kasbarian M, et al. Endovascular occlusion of vertebral fistulae by detachable balloons with conservation of the vertebral blood flow. Radiology. 1979;130:141-147.

114.   Halbach VV, Higashida RT, Hieshima GB. Treatment of vertebral arteriovenous fistulas. AJR American Journal of Roentgenology. 1988;150:405-412.

115.   Golueke P, Sclafani S, Phillips T, et al. Vertebral artery injury--diagnosis and management. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 1987;27:856-865.

116.   Albuquerque FC, Javedan SP, McDougall CG. Endovascular management of penetrating vertebral artery injuries. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 2002;53:574-580.

117.   Ben-Menachem Y, Fields WS, Cadavid G, et al. Vertebral artery trauma: Transcatheter embolization. Ajnr: American Journal of Neuroradiology. 1987;8:501-507.

118.   Yee LF, Olcott EW, Knudson MM, Lim RC, Jr. Extraluminal, transluminal, and observational treatment for vertebral artery injuries. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 1995;39:480-484; discussion 484-486.

119.   Demetriades D, Theodorou D, Asensio J, et al. Management options in vertebral artery injuries. British Journal of Surgery. 1996;83:83-86.

120.   Reid JD, Weigelt JA. Forty-three cases of vertebral artery trauma. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 1988;28:1007-1012.

121.   Sclafani AP, Sclafani SJ. Angiography and transcatheter arterial embolization of vascular injuries of the face and neck. Laryngoscope. 1996;106:168-173.

122.   Robbs JV, Reddy E. Management options for penetrating injuries to the great veins of the neck and superior mediastinum. Surgery, Gynecology & Obstetrics. 1987;165:323-326.

123. Nair R, Robbs JV, Muckart DJ. Management of penetrating cervicomediastinal venous trauma. European Journal of Vascular & Endovascular Surgery. 2000;19:65-69.

124. Arishita GI, Vayer JS, Bellamy RF. Cervical spine immobilization of penetrating neck wounds in a hostile environment.[see comment]. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 1989;29:332-337.

125. Barkana Y, Stein M, Scope A, et al. Prehospital stabilization of the cervical spine for penetrating injuries of the neck - is it necessary?[see comment]. Injury. 2000;31:305-309.

126. Rhee P, Kuncir EJ, Johnson L, et al. Cervical spine injury is highly dependent on the mechanism of injury following blunt and penetrating assault. Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care. 2006;61:1166-1170.

Table 1. Evidentiary Table

#	First author	Year	Reference	Class	Conclusions
1	Bumpous JM	2000	Am J Otolaryngol 21:190-4	III	Zone II is the most common area of injury and most patients will require neck exploration. Major vascular injuries are evident with expanding hematoma, declining mental status, persistent bleeding from the wound or from the aerodigestive tract. Angiography is helpful if surgery is not immediately warranted. A significant number of patients will have long term sequelae including hoarseness or dysphagia and they may require persistent tracheotomy.
2	Atta HM	1999	Am Surg 65:575-7	III	The Organ Injury Scale can be used to predict the length of hospital stay.
3	Bladergroen M	1989	Am J Surg 157:483-6	III	Aggressive resuscitation, evaluation, and operative intervention are needed for these patients.
4	Amirjamshidi A	2000	Surg Neuro 53:136-45	III	Early recognition of stigmas suggesting possible formation of extracranial traumatic vasculopathies such as aneurysms or arteriovenous fistulas should be highlighted for evaluation. Performing angiography promptly in suspected cases can pick up such traumatic vascular lesions earlier. Using simpler surgical techniques in situations in which more sophisticated endovascular equipment is unavailable can be life-saving.
5	Fogelman M	1956	Am J Surg 91:581-596	III	Mandatory exploration led to less mortality than a strategy of observation.
6	Markey JC	1975	Am Surg 41:77-83	III	They recommend exploring everyone with injury past the platysma as negative exploration has low morbidity.
7	Merion RM	1981	Arch Surg 116:691-6	III	Selective management is safe. Angiography and esophageal studies are needed.
8	Almskog BA	1985	Acta Chirurg Scand 151:419-23	III	They propose that all patients with wounds penetrating the platysma should be explored with general anesthesia. This is due to inadequate hemostasis (hematoma formation) and missed injuries when using local anesthesia.
9	Roon AJ	1979	J Trauma 19:391-7	II	All patients with platysma penetration should undergo operation since physical exam is insensitive and morbidity and mortality are low. Selective angiography can help in the planning of operations.
10	Walsh MS	1994	Injury 25:393-5	III	Policy of mandatory exploration is justified.
11	May M	1975	Laryngoscope 85:57-75	III	Asymptomatic patients without hard or soft signs should not be explored. This study justifies selective rather than routine exploration.

Table 1. Evidentiary Table

12	Bostwick J, 3rd	1976	South Med Journal 69:550-3	III	The most common organ injury that is fatal is injury to the carotid. Morbidity is primarily related to neurological deterioration. Carotid injury repair should occur when there has been no pre-existing neurological deficit. Reinstitution of cerebral flow to a previously acutely ischemic brain adds greater risk of intracerebral hemorrhage. Consideration to carotid ligation should be given in these situations. When the general condition of the patient permits, barium swallow is extremely reliable method for demonstrating esophageal perforation.
13	Blass DC	1978	J Trauma 18:2-7	III	Small, retrospective study. Decision to operate, or not, unclear.
14	Lundy LJ	1978	Surg Gynecol Obstet 147:845-8	III	Selective management is safe, but requires capability for appropriate diagnostic tests and close observation by nurses and housestaff.
15	Meinke AH	1979	Am J Surg 138:314-9	III	This experience and a review of the literature support the concept of selective management of penetrating neck injuries with active observation.
16	Campbell FC	1980	Brit J Surg 67:582-6	II	A selective policy for surgical intervention is safe. A minimal morbidity and mortality can be obtained by adequate preoperative evaluation which includes the use of contrast radiography & angiography.
17	Pate JW	1980	Am Surg 46:38-43	III	Methodology not consistent with current standards of care. Careful and repeated physical examinations and observations supplemented by simple radiograph examinations allowed selection of a large group of patients who were satisfactorily treated by simple wound closure and clinical observations. Aggressive emergency room management and adequate exposure and repair of vascular injuries prevented cerebral damage so common in previous reports.
18	Massac E	1983	Am J Surg 145:263-5	III	Our morbidity and mortality rates are slightly lower than those reported in most series. This review supports the concept that therapy for penetrating injuries to the neck should be individualized.
19	Shuck JM	1983	Ann Emerg Med 12:159-61	III	Selective management of neck injuries should be done.
20	Rao PM	1983	J Trauma 23:47-9	II	Recommend a policy of selective management
21	Demetriades D	1985	Ann Royal Coll Surg Engl 67:71-4	III	Selective management is ok.
22	Cohen ES	1987	South Med Journal 80:26-8	III	Selective exploration of penetrating neck wounds is both safe and reasonable.
23	Coldwell DM	2000	J Trauma 48:470-2	III	Carotid artery pseudoaneurysms can be managed with endovascular stents.

Table 1. Evidentiary Table

24	Mansour MA	1991	Am J Surg 162:517-20	II	Selective neck exploration is efficacious and safe.
25	Roden DM	1993	Am Surg 59:750-3	III	The selective approach to the operativfe management of these patients is safe & effective in identifying those patients in need of operation & selecting out those patients who may be safely observed.
26	Luntz M	1993	Europ Arch Oto-Rhino-Laryngol 250:369-74	III	Patients with penetrating neck injuries should be differentiated into two basic categories: immediately life-threatening and not immediately life-threatening. Immediately life-threatening features, include overt massive bleeding, expanding hematoma, non-expanding hematoma in the presence of hemodynamic instability, hemomediastinum, hemothorax, and hypovolemic shock, require In immediate surgical exploration. Those patients without immediately life-threatening injuries, but with any signs of vascular complication, signs of upper aerodigestive tract lesions, or peripheral neurological deficits, should undergo thorough imaging to determine the need for and nature of possible surgical intervention.
27	Sofianos C	1996	Surgery 120:785-8	II	Conservative management with selectively supplemented appropriate investigations is a viable proposition in this type of injury.
28	Klyachkin ML	1997	Am Surg 63:189-94	III	The data support the application of the selective management algorithm for zone II neck wounds. Preoperative ancillary diagnostic tests would have further reduced the negative exploration rate.
29	Hersman G	2001	Internat Surg 86:82-9	III	More of a review of the change in practice from mandatory exploration to selective management. No conclusions can be drawn from their data.
30	Stroud WH	1980	Am J Surg 140:323-6	III	Mandatory exploration is not necessary, but if nonoperative management is pursued, exploration may be needed if any change in clinical course occurs. Observation for 48 hours is recommended.
31	Goldberg PA	1991	Injury 22:7-8	II	Concomitant penetrating chest injury is not an indication for exploration of the neck.

Table 1. Evidentiary Table

32	Ordog GJ	1985	J Trauma 25:238-46	III	A substantial number of patients with penetrating trauma to the neck can be selectively managed depending on the symptoms, signs, site and direction of trajectory, and whether the time between injury and entrance to the hospital is greater than 6 hours . Patients who are stable and lack physical signs of obvious major neck injury can be evaluated by diagnostic radiologic and endoscopic techniques. If no significant injury is found, observation with repeated physical exams and 24 hour availability of radiologic and endoscopic modalities must be adhered to.
33	Cabasares HV	1982	Am Surg 48:355-8	III	Selective management is safe. Prompt operation, when appropriate, can spare patients unnecessary and costly testing.
34	Saletta JD	1976	J Trauma 16:579-87	III	Policy of mandatory exploration is justified.
35	Elerding SC	1980	J Trauma 20:695-7	III	Selective management based on physical examination is appropriate.
36	Bishara RA	1986	Surgery 100:655-60	III	Mandatory exploration is safe and appropriate. Clinical evaluation preop not what is used for selective management.
37	Sheely CH	1975	J Trauma 15:895-900	III	Carefully selected patients can be observed for evolution of neck injuries with a resultant low morbidity and mortality
38	Ayuyao AM	1985	Ann Surg 202:563-7	III	The frequency of operations for penetrating neck wounds without structural injuries was minimized in the selective exploration group.
39	Jurkovich GJ	1985	J Trauma 25:819-22	III	In the absence of clinical signs of major vascular, esophageal, airway, or neurologic injury, the indication for ancillary diagnostic testing may best be defined by the anatomic location of the injury. Zone II injuries are rarely occult. Asymptomatic patients may safely be observed only. Zone I injuries should be aggressively evaluated by CXR, arteriography, & fluoro-esophagography. Zone III injuries warrant arteriography. Aerodigestive tract studies of injuries to this neck zone are useless.
40	Noyes LD	1986	Ann Surg 204:21-31	III	Compared to mandatory exploration, angiography with panendoscopy is an equally safe and acceptable method of initial exploration for stable patients with penetrating neck wounds.
41	Meyer JP	1987	Arch Surg 122:592-7	III	Potentially lethal vascular and visceral structures in the neck may go undetected if selective exploration criteria are used in the decision to explore penetrating wounds to zone II of the neck.
42	Biffl WL	1997	Am J Surg 174:678-82	II	Selective management is safe and does not mandate diagnostic testing.
43	Sriussadaporn S	2001	Internat Surg 86:90-3	III	Selective management based on clinical findings is safe.

Table 1. Evidentiary Table

#	Author	Year	Citation	Level	Comment
44	Nason RW	2001	Canad J Surg 44:122-6	III	Selective management may be appropriate, but the criteria for observation are unclear.
45	Narrod JA	1984	J Emerg Med 2:17-22	III	Mandatory exploration of penetrating anterior neck wounds is unnecessary and use of a selective approach to their evaluation is both safe and cost-effective. Observation does not mandate extensive ancillary diagnostic testing for level II and III injuries. For level I injuries, arteriography is performed and if the wound approaches the mediastinum, esophageal contrast studies and endoscopic evaluation are performed in selected patients. In this study, the sensitivity of esophagoscopy and esophageal contrast studies was less than 70%, therefore injuries with abnormal soft tissue air undergo mandatory exploration despite the risk of negative exploration.
46	Narrod JA	1984	Arch Surg 119:574-8	II	Selective exploration for penetrating neck injuries is safe and cost-effective. Observation does not mandate extensive ancillary testing for level II and III injuries.
47	Velmahos GC	1994	Canad J Surg 37:487-91	II	Large study of selective management, but 9% missed injuries seems high.
48	Golueke PJ	1984	J Trauma 24:1010-4	I	Mandatory and selective strategies are equivalent.
49	Atta HM	1998	Am Surg 64:222-5	III	Transcervical injuries are more lethal than other types of injuries to the neck.
50	Hirshberg A	1994	Am J Surg 167:309-12	III	Transcervical penetration may be a predictor of major injury, supporting an approach of mandatory neck exploration.
51	Demetriades D	1996	J Trauma 40:758-60	II	This study does not support mandatory operation for all transcervical gunshot wounds. A careful clinical examination combined with the appropriate diagnostic investigations should determine the treatment modality. About 80% of these patients can safely be managed nonoperatively.
52	Prgomet D	1996	Euro Arch Oto-Rhino-Laryngol 253:294-6	III	Wounds treated during the first 6 hours after injury should be closed primarily but with obligatory drainage. Secondary closure is better for wounds treated more than 6 hours after injury or in cases with larger tissue defects requiring larger local or free graft flaps for closure.
53	Danic D	1998	Military Medicine 163:117-9	III	Primary closure of war wounds to the head and neck (supported by antibiotic therapy) and reconstruction of extensive laryngotracheal injuries with the medial layer of the cervical deep fascia were used for the first time as war surgery procedures.
54	Cooper A	1987	J Ped Surg 22:24-7	III	Selective management of neck injuries seems appropriate in children.

Table 1. Evidentiary Table

#	Author	Year	Citation	Class	Comments
55	Hall JR	1991	J Trauma 31:1614-7	III	Nonoperative observation of penetrating zone-II neck injuries is safe and the management of choice if active observation can be performed and the facilities for immediate operative intervention are available.
56	Thomas AN	1978	J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 76:633-8	III	In stable patients, angiography helps avoid unnecessary operations and helped plan appropriate operations.
57	O'Donnell VA	1979	Am J Surg 138:309-13	III	Selective management based on angiography is safe and effective.
58	Smith RF	1974	Arch Surg 109:198-205	III	No firm conclusions regarding indications for angiographic evaluation can be drawn, but physical exam alone is unreliable.
59	Dunbar LL	1984	Am Surg 50:198-204	III	Selective management is safe when esophagrams and angiograms are included.
60	Hiatt JR	1984	J Vasc Surg 1:860-6	III	Negative angiogram allows safe nonoperative management.
61	North CM	1986	Am J Roentgenol 147:995-9	II	Vascular injury incidence was 30% when there was an absent pulse, bruit, hematoma or alteration of neurologic status. Patients were unlikely to have clinically significant vascular trauma if the above signs were missing. Higher rate (50%) of vascular injury with trauma above the angle of the mandible. Gunshot wounds cause vascular injury more frequently than stab wounds.
62	Hartling RP	1989	Radiology 172:79-82	III	Occult vascular trauma is unlikely in patients with minor physical findings. Angiography is indicated in zone II and III injuries associated with major physical findings, but not in those with minor physical findings.
63	Rivers SP	1988	J Vasc Surg 8:112-6	III	Arteriography for penetrating neck trauma is usually unnecessary for observation of patients in stable condition without suggestive physical findings. Thorough neck exploration with dissection of the carotid sheath in patients with physical examination criteria for surgery eliminates the need for angiography in most cases and avoids the consequences of a possible false-negative study.
64	Sclafani SJ	1991	J Trauma 31:557-62	III	Physical examination is insufficient. Angiography or exploration is indicated if platysma is violated.
65	Menawat SS	1992	J Vasc Surg 16:397-400	III	Location and physical examination can rule out a major arterial injury necessitating operation.
66	Nemzek WR	1996	Am J Neurorad 17:161-7	III	Prevertebral soft tissue swelling and bullet fragmentation in proximity to a vessel are non-specific findings and are present in many patients with negative angio. No comment on computed tomography.
67	Jarvik JG	1995	Am J Neuroradiol 16:647-54	II	Clinical exam is good and not doing angiograms saves 3.08 million dollars per central nervous system event prevented.

Table 1. Evidentiary Table

68	Demetriades D	1995	Arch Surg 130:971-5	II	Doppler and physical exam have 100% sensitivity for clinically important lesions in the vasculature of the neck.
69	Demetriades D	1997	World J Surg 21:41-7	II	Physical examination is sufficient to identify patients who require arterial or esophageal evaluation. Duplex is a reasonable alternative to angiography.
70	Bynoe RP	1991	J Vasc Surg 14:346-52	II	Ultrasound is accurate and cost-effective.
71	Montalvo BM	1996	Am J Neuroradiol 17:943-51	II	Color Doppler sonography is as accurate as angiography in screening clinically stable patients with Zone II or II injuries and no signs of active bleeding.
72	Ginzburg E	1996	Arch Surg 131:691-3	II	Duplex ultrasound is a reliable method for identifying vascular trauma in the stable patient. Abnormal ultrasound results should warrant subsequent angiography
73	Corr P	1999	S African Med Journal 89:644-6	II	Duplex is a reasonable screening test for penetrating arterial injuries.
74	Gracias VH	2001	Arch Surg 136:1231-5	III	CT in stable selected patients with penetrating neck trauma appears safe. Invasive studies can often be eliminated when CT demonstrates trajectories remote from vital structures. Further prospective study of CT scan after penetrating neck trauma is needed.
75	Munera F	2000	Radiology 216:356-62	II	The sensitivity and specificity of helical CT angiography are high for detection of major carotid and vertebral arterial injuries resulting from penetrating trauma. The entire neck must be included in the examination.
76	Munera F	2002	Radiology 224:366-72	III	Helical CT angiography can be reliably used to evaluate penetrating neck trauma in the stable patient. Patients with bruits or thrill at admission may be better managed by conventional angiography because of the likelihood of endovascular therapy. Helical CT angiography is limited by artifact due to metal which may obscure arterial segments; therefore, these patients should undergo conventional angiography. Subtle lesions such as intimal flaps may be missed by helical CT angiography therefore underestimating the total number of injuries.
77	Mazolewski PJ	2001	J Trauma 51:315-9	II	CT is good and can be used to eliminate the need for mandatory exploration.
78	Splener CW	1976	Arch Surg 111:663-7	III	Early signs were subtle. Small amounts of mediastinal and cervical air tended to be overlooked or erroneously attributed to other causes, such as associated pneumothorax. Once suspected, the possibility of esophageal disruption was not always pursued with optimum vigor.

Table 1. Evidentiary Table

79	Asensio JA	1997	J Trauma 43:319-24	III	Esophageal injuries carry a high morbidity and mortality. Though the sample size is small, there does appear to be an increased morbidity associated with the diagnostic workup and its inherent delay in operative repair of these injuries. For centers practicing selective management, rapid diagnosis and definitive repair should be made a high priority.
80	Asensio JA	2001	J Trauma 50:289-96	II	Preoperative evaluation for esophageal injuries should be carried out expeditiously to avoid delays that are detrimental to the patient.
81	Hatzitheofilou C	1993	Brit J Surg 80:1147-9	III	Diagnose and repair esophageal injuries early (less than 24 hrs).
82	Symbas PN	1980	Ann Surg 191:703-7	III	Perform EGD in patients with missile trajectory near the esophagus irrespective of physical signs of esophageal injury. Repair all injuries with plication in addition to primary repair.
83	Cheadle J	1982	Surg Gynecol Obstet 155:380-4	III	Repair esophageal injuries.
84	Shama DM	1984	Brit J Surg 71:534-6	III	Tracheal wounds are usually recognized early but cervical esophageal injuries are not. Early recognition & referral are associated with low morbidity & mortality. Late recognition & referral carry a high morbidity and mortality rate.
85	Popovsky J	1984	J Trauma 24:337-9	III	Due to extensive tissue damage in GSWs, primary repairs of thoracic esophageal perforations have a high incidence of failure. Defunctionalization of the esophagus through ligation of the distal esophagus, gastrostomy, and cervical esophagostomy provides a safer method. Use of a double strand of absorbable Dexon to ligate the distal esophagus makes a second thoracotomy unnecessary for ligature removal. Routine use of hyperalimentation avoids the need for feeding jejunostomy. All patients with cervical esophageal lesions are routinely explored through a pre-sternocleidomastoid incision on the side of the injury and the perforation closed with two layers of nonabsorbable monofilament and drained.
86	Armstrong WB	1994	Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 103:863-71	III	Treat as pharyngeal injuries and repair primarily. Diversion leads to complications such as strictures.
87	Stanley RB	1997	J Trauma 42:675-9	III	Injuries located in the upper portion of the hypopharynx can be routinely managed without surgical intervention. Neck exploration and adequate drainage of the deep neck spaces are, however, mandatory for all penetrating injuries into the cervical esophagus and most injuries into the lower portion of the hypopharynx.
88	Madiba TE	2003	Ann Royal Coll Surg Engl 85:162-6	III	Non-operative management of penetrating injuries to the cervical esophagus is safe and effective.

Table 1. Evidentiary Table

89	Minard G	1992	Am Surg 58:181-7	III	Laryngotracheal trauma usually presents with symptoms and/or signs, but they may be minimal and nonspecific. Emergency tracheostomy should not be delayed if ventilation is compromised. Concomitant esophageal injuries are frequent and predispose the patient to postoperative complications. Airway compromise frequently correlates with severity of injury and risk for complications.
90	Grewal H	1995	Head Neck 17:494-502	III	Endotracheal intubation can be accomplished safely in selected patients with penetrating laryngotracheal injuries. Digestive tract injuries can often be clinically occult & early evaluation of the esophagus is vital. In patients with minor injuries, tracheostomy does not appear to be mandatory.
91	Weigelt JA	1987	Am J Surg 154:619-22	III	For selective management, arteriography, esophagography and rigid esophagoscopy (if esophagram is equivocal) are necessary to rule out injuries that require exploration.
92	Wood J	1989	J Trauma 29:602-5	III	Unstable patients require immediate exploration. Stable patients with equivocal physical findings can be managed according to results of esophageal examination and angiography. Patients with low probability of injury due to location & clinical presentation can be observed. Regardless of method of management, those with a possibility of esophageal injury should undergo esophagram and/or esophagoscopy.
93	Ngakane H	1990	Brit J Surg 77:908-10	III	Tracheal injury in the absence of life-threatening airway problems can be treated successfully with a conservative approach. Patients with minimal symptoms of visceral injury following penetrating cervical trauma may be selected for further evaluation based on the simple water swallowing test. A severe pain response on swallowing should elicit a contrast swallow. Patients with a normal study and those with minimal leakage of contrast material can be managed non-operatively having a repeat exam on day 5.
94	Srinivasan R	2000	Am J Gastroenterol 95:1725-9	III	Endoscopy is a safe and reliable method for evaluating the esophagus for penetrating trauma..
95	McCormick TM	1979	J Trauma 19:384-7	II	Physical examination is unreliable in ruling in or out vascular trauma, although no subcategorization of neck and extremity injuries was done, nor was there a description of how serious the missed injuries were.
96	Metzdorff MT	1984	Am J Surg 147:646-9	III	Clinical findings are a reliable indicator of significant trauma.

Table 1. Evidentiary Table

#	Author	Year	Journal	Level	Conclusion
97	Apffelstaedt JP	1994	World J Surg 18:917-9	III	Physical examination does not help determine which patients have life-threatening injuries. Mandatory exploration is recommended.
98	Demetriades D	1993	Br J Surg 80:1534-6	II	Physical examination is reliable in detecting significant injuries in penetrating neck trauma.
99	Gerst PH	1990	Am Surg 56:553-5	II	Selective management, when guided by repeated, careful examinations, is safe and avoids unnecessary operations.
100	Beitsch P	1994	Arch Surg 129:577-81	III	Neither mandatory neck exploration nor mandatory arteriography is necessary. Physical examination should be used to assess for possibility of injury in penetrating neck trauma.
101	Atteberry LR	1994	J Am Coll Surg 179:657-62	II	Vascular injury can be excluded by physical exam.
102	Sekharan J	2000	J Vasc Surg 32:483-9	II	Patients with Zone II penetrating neck wounds can be safely and accurately evaluated by physical examination alone. The missed injury rate is 0.7% (1/145), which is comparable to angiography in accuracy, but less costly and non-invasive.
103	Azuaje RE	2003	Am Surg 69:804-7	III	Routine angiography may be unnecessary for patients with penetrating neck injuries and a negative physical exam.
104	Goudy SL	2002	Laryngoscope 112:791-5	III	Blunt trauma can result in perforation of the aerodigestive tract. Some stable patients with evidence of upper aerodigestive tract injury can be managed without surgery, but a high index of suspicion for airway compromise and associated facial injuries must be considered. Flexible and direct laryngoscopy and esophagoscopy are highly recommended. Most of the lacerations identified are 1 to 2 cm in diameter. Contrary to previous studies, patients with these injuries can be managed successfully without surgical exploration. Each patient must be closely followed and elective neck exploration undertaken when sepsis is suspected or a vascular injury is evident.
105	Gonzalez RP	2003	J Trauma 54:61-4	II	CT scan does not appear to contribute to the diagnostic sensitivity of physical examination for the diagnosis of surgically significant airway or arterial injury. CT does improve the diagnostic sensitivity of physical exam for venous injury.
106	Thavendran A	1975	Injury 7:58-60	III	When adequate exposure cannot be obtained by exploration of the neck, median sternotomy or anterior thoracotomy is advisable.

Table 1. Evidentiary Table

	Year	Citation	Level	Conclusion
107 Weaver FA	1988	Arch Surg 123:1106-11	II	Arterial reconstruction is the optimal form of treatment for carotid artery injuries, even with moderate to severe neurological deficits, including coma. The fear of revascularization with severe neurological deficits and transforming an ischemic infarct into a hemorrhagic one was not supported by this data. The major determinant of morbidity and mortality is the ischemic insult and not reperfusion hemorrhage.
108 Khoury G	1990	Euro J Vasc Surg 4:607-10	III	Carotid artery injury seems to have a good prognosis if repaired promptly within 3 h.
109 Rao PM	1993	Surgery 114:527-31	II	For stable pts with penetrating neck trauma, angiography should be done. Injuries to common and internal carotid arteries should be repaired if possible. Otherwise if no preop neurologic deficit is present these vessels can be ligated.
110 Kuehne JP	1996	Arch Surg 131:942-7	III	Patients with possible penetrating internal carotid artery injuries should be managed with an algorithm predicated on the liberal use of angiography, standardized surgical repair, and observation of selected injuries. Cerebrovascular morbidity is more commonly cerebral edema and herniation, not hemorrhagic infarction. Arterial reconstruction is the optimal form of treatment for carotid artery injuries, even with moderate to severe neurological deficits, including coma. The fear of transforming an ischemic infarction into a hemorrhagic one by revascularization appears to be over estimated. Occlusive injuries in which diagnostic angiography demostrates distal vessel involvement or that cannot be repaired, ligation is acceptable. Small injuries to the common or internal carotid arteries with minimal intimal irregularities or small pseudoaneurysms can be expectantly managed by serial angiography.
111 Mittal VK	2000	J Cardiovasc Surg 41:423-31	III	Grading system may help improve the understandability of literature regarding these injuries and help standardize management.
112 Diaz-Daza O	2003	Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 26:213-21	III	Angiographic techniques are successful for penetrating vascular injuries of the head and neck.
113 Debrun G	1979	Radiology 130:141-7	III	Small case series describing an endovascular technique for treatment of carotid cavernous sinus and vertebral fistulae
114 Halbach VV	1988	Am J Roentgenol 150:405-12	III	Transvascular technique for repair of vertebral arteriovenous fistulas is ok

Table 1. Evidentiary Table

#	Author	Year	Citation	Grade	Comment
115	Golueke P	1987	J Trauma 27:856-65	III	Angiography is good. Embolization is safe if there is a patent posterior inferior cerebellar artery. Anterior approach to C1-2 is satisfactory.
116	Albuquerque FC	2002	J Trauma 53:574-80	III	Endovasular techniques allow deliineation of AV fistulae flow patterns. Balloon occlusion techniques offer other advantages in the management of penetrating vertebral artery injuries. Permanent balloon deposition is an effective method of occluding the an injured artery at the site of a fistula or in the region of suspected active hemorrhage. Temporary balloon occlusion may also be used to define the lumen of the parent vessel and as another means of preventing coil herniation into the normal artery during embolization for complex pseudoaneurysms.
117	Ben-Menachem Y	1987	Am J Neurorad 8:501-7	III	Vertebral embolization is easier, faster, and safer than surgical ligation and therefore preferable. With few exceptions, embolization should be considered the preferred method in the management of vertebral artery trauma.
118	Yee LF	1995	J Trauma 39:480-4	III	Angiography is good.
119	Demetriades D	1996	British J Surg 83:83-6	III	Most vertebral artery injuries can safely be managed without an operation or by angiographic embolization. Surgical intervention should be reserved for patients with severe bleeding or when embolization has failed.
120	Reid JD	1988	J Trauma 28:1007-12	III	Vertebral artery injuries rarely cause mortality. Associated injuries are common.
121	Sclafani AP	1996	Laryngoscope 106:168-73	III	Angiography is a safe, effective modality in patients with penetrating head & neck injuries. Patients with Zone III or facial wounds, particularly those with documented ICA, IMA or ECA injuries, have a higher incidence of multiple vascular injuries.
122	Robbs JV	1987	Surg Gynecol Obstet 165:323-6	III	Either repair or ligation of the great veins is acceptable.
123	Nair R	2000	Euro J Vasc Endovasc Surg 19:65-9	III	It is safe to ligate veins for cervical or mediastinal trauma if unstable or complex repair required.
124	Arishita GI	1989	J Trauma 29:332-7	III	Mandatory immobilization of all casualties with penetrating neck wounds sustained in an environment hazardous to first aid providers has an unfavorable risk/benefit ratio.

Table 1. Evidentiary Table

| 125 | Barkana Y | 2000 | Injury 31:305-9 | III | In penetrating injury to the neck without a clear neurological deficit, there is no place for using a collar or any other device for neck stabilization. Neck stabilization devices should be used when there is overt neurological deficit or the diagnosis cannot be made (i.e., unconscious victim). It is obligatory to expose the neck by removing the anterior portion of the device every few minutes in the initial phase of treatment. Neck stabilization devices may be used for the unusual occurrence of a penetrating injury which is combined with blunt trauma. |
| 126 | Rhee P | 2006 | J Trauma 61:1166-1170 | | Neurologic deficits from penetrating assault were established and final at the time of presentation. Concern for protecting the neck should not hinder the evaluation process or life saving procedures. |